

0000 0000 1438

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE/METHOD/EXEMPTION 3B2B
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2008

AIR

ECLE-2502A

Chief, R.

16 September 1957

Chief of Base, Pullach

REF: 103

Operational/UP: AIR/CLT

Fall "ACTION"

Reference: GLA-23275

REF: SEHNEL

NOTE: RE: none -- for your information.

1. Due to the ill health of V-22356, his meeting scheduled for 2 April 1957 with the StB did not take place until 26 May. This was held at the Hotel Schiff in Linz, not, as before, in Salzburg. He gave his Czech case officer a political commentary on West Germany which he had written, apparently as part of a long standing assignment. (Prior to the meeting, SAUTSCHER read sections of the commentary to the undersigned. It was an exceedingly acute observation of the political problems faced by the several parties of the Federal Republic.)

2. The discussion at this meeting centered almost entirely on political subjects, although the Czech did make inquiries again about the agent's financial status. This seems to be about as precarious as in the past, for he has been unable to land a job (his wife, however, has obtained employment and is thus able to provide the family with bare necessities).

3. V-22356 was instructed to continue writing his political commentaries; to observe Bundeswehr developments; and to check up on the activities of Dr. Franz G. LITZ (see GLA-21969 etc) and of Rudolf SANDER (listed in attachment to GLA-10644). The next meeting was scheduled for 23 July, again in Linz. He received \$ 700, \$ 300 of which constituted his salary, \$ 200 for travel expenses, and \$ 200 for anticipated expenses in the SANDER-SANDER investigation.

4. The meeting in Linz went off as scheduled on 23 July. The agent gave a cursory report on what he had learned about the activities of SANDER and LITZ. He had the impression that his Czech case officer considered that these two persons might be U-INC's (we are reasonably certain that such is not the case), but that the Czechs are primarily interested in their activities within Landsmannschaft groups. This is probably so since V-22356 was told that if he succeeded in gaining admission to a certain exclusive circle within the Sudeten-deutsche Landsmannschaft, he would receive DM 700 monthly. He was advised to enlist the support of his friend, the former V-22354 (see GLA-21969 etc), in getting into this group. Should he succeed, he is instructed to report to the Czechs in detail on the political attitudes of all members, what deals are being made with the SPD and other parties, etc.

Distribution: 2 - 15
2 - 100

KELLNER 201

0000 0000 1439

WGLA-25024

Page 2

5. When I was last able to talk with HEUTZBACH about this case, he said that UPSWING was faced with the problem of deciding whether to permit the agent to try to accomplish this newest assignment from the StB or whether to drop the operation altogether. UPSWING has been playing it as a CART case; the StB has probably all along been running it as a positive political case. HEUTZBACH feels that UPSWING has reached the point where they cannot run it with any profit for themselves. The StB appears to have more to gain. We will report as soon as we learn what decisions have been made by UPSWING.

6. The last remaining item to be noted will serve to indicate that in V-22356 UPSWING has not got an ideal double agent. Apparently sometime after the 23 July meeting when the agent had returned to his home, he received a visit from two individuals he had never seen before. They introduced themselves as member of the LFV. They did not show any credentials, nor did V-22356 ask to see such. He merely said that there could be no purpose in talking with his visitors because he was already in contact with another agency of the government which knew all about him and his activities. I have not yet been able to learn the outcome of UPSWING's query to the particular LFV. Should the latter reply that they had not sent any representatives to call on V-22356, UPSWING will probably not have to come to a decision as to whether or not to continue the operation. The StB, assuming that the two visitors were theirs, might logically be expected to have dropped what they once considered a promising positive operation.

Approved by: _____